### BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

ALLEN McAFEE,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
V.	)	I
	)	(
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL	)	
PROTECTION AGENCY,	)	
Respondent.	)	

PCB No. 15-84 (UST Appeal)

### **NOTICE OF FILING AND PROOF OF SERVICE**

TO: John T. Therriault, Acting Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street State of Illinois Building, Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601 Carol Webb Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 1021 N. Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19274 Springfield, IL 62794-9274

Melanie Jarvis Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 N. Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today electronically filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, pursuant to Board Procedural Rule 101.302 (d), a MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION OF PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AS COSTS OF CORRECTIVE ACTION, a copy of which is herewith served upon the hearing officer and upon the attorneys of record in this cause.

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of this Notice of Filing, together with a copy of the document described above, were today served upon the hearing officer and counsel of record of all parties to this cause by enclosing same in envelopes addressed to such attorneys and to said hearing officer with postage fully prepaid, and by depositing said envelopes in a U.S. Post Office Mailbox in Springfield, Illinois on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2015.

BY: <u>/s/ Patrick D. Shaw</u>

LAW OFFICE OF PATRICK D. SHAW 80 Bellerive Road Springfield, IL 62704 217-299-8484

THIS FILING SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

### **BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS**

ALLEN McAFEE,	)
Petitioner,	)
	)
V.	)
	)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL	)
PROTECTION AGENCY,	)
Respondent.	)

PCB No. 15-84 (UST Appeal)

### **MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION OF PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AS COSTS OF CORRECTIVE ACTION**

NOW COMES Petitioner, ALLEN McAFEE, by its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Section 57.8(1) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (415 ILCS 5/57.8(1)), petitions the Illinois Pollution Control Board (hereinafter "the Board") for an order authorizing payment of legal costs, and in support thereof states as follows:

1. On March 5, 2015, the Board struck the requirement that a project labor agreement (PLA) be used for site investigation activities from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's denial letter. Furthermore, the Board directed Petitioner to file a statement of attorney's fees and an argument as to their eligibility for reimbursement. (Interim Order, at pp. 16 & 17)

2. Under Section 57.8(1) of the Environmental Protection Act, the legal costs for seeking payment under the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program are reimbursable from the underground storage tank fund if the owner or operator prevails before the Board. (415 ILCS 5/57.8(1)).

3. Petitioner has clearly prevailed before the Board as he has obtained the relief requested, namely removal of the PLA requirement from the site investigation plan and budget.

A party may prevail before the Board by obtaining the reversal of a plan or budget, as these are necessary prerequisites to seeking payment under the Act. <u>Illinois Ayers Oil Co. v. IEPA</u>, PCB 03-214, at p. 8 (Aug. 5, 2014) Furthermore, compliance with any PLA obligation is mandatory "if payment from the Underground Storage Tank Fund is to be requested." (415 ILCS 5/57.7(c)(3))

4. Once a new determination letter is issued, Petitioner can resume with a traditional site investigation plan without the additional costs, delays and uncertainties associated with PLAs. The Illinois EPA's underlying determination directed that any additional costs associated with implementing the PLA which exceed maximum reimbursement rates be addressed through competitive bidding. In prior rulemakings, evidence submitted to the Board estimated the cost to complete the competitive bidding process to be \$8,853.27. In re Amendments Under P.A. 96-908, at pp. 49-50 (First Notice, Sept. 22, 2011). However accurate that estimate might be, it would clearly be disproportionate to the costs of the site investigation work that would have been subject to PLAs. In the underlying case, \$2,671.24 pertained to the drilling and monitoring well costs. (R. 183) Thus, Petitioner has clearly prevailed on a meaningful issue.

5. The award of legal costs are discretionary with the Board. <u>Ted Harrison Oil Co.</u> <u>v. IEPA</u>, PCB 99-127 (Oct. 16, 2003). In <u>Illinois Ayers Co. V. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 03-214 (Aug. 5, 2004), the petitioner urged the Board to follow federal precedents arising under public interest statutes, which assume that a prevailing party "should ordinarily recover an attorney's fee unless special circumstances would render such an award unjust." <u>Hensley v. Eckerhart</u>, 461 U.S. 424, 429 (1983). While the Board made no express comment on this presumption, the Board appears to have authorized payment of reasonable legal defense costs in all cases where petitioners have

prevailed. <u>PAK-AGS v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 15-14 (March 5, 2015); <u>Chatham BP v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 14-1 (Feb. 5, 2015); <u>Wheeling/GWA Auto Shop, v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 10-70 (Sept. 22, 2011); <u>Evergreen FS v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 11-51 (Sept. 6, 2012); <u>Zervos Three, v.IEPA</u>, PCB No. 10-54 (June 2, 2011); <u>Dickerson Petroleum v. IEPA</u>, PCB Nos. 09-87; 10-5 (Dec. 2, 2010); <u>Prime</u> <u>Location Properties v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 9-67 (Nov. 5, 2009); <u>Swif-T Food Mart v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 03-185 (Aug. 19, 2004); <u>Illinois Ayers Co. v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 03-214 (Aug 5, 2004). In exceptional circumstances, the Board has reduced the amount of recovery, but still awarded substantial legal fees to the prevailing party. <u>E.g.</u>, <u>Webb & Sons. v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 07-24 (May 3, 2007) (award in proportion to degree of success); <u>Prime Location Properties</u>, PCB 09-67 (Nov. 15, 2012) (post-mandate attorneys fees disallowed).

6. Historically, the Board has initially and fully considered the reasonableness of the claimed legal defense costs before exercising its discretion to authorize their payment. <u>Evergreen</u> <u>FS, v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 11-51 (Sept. 6, 2012).

 Attached hereto is the Affidavit of Patrick D. Shaw, documenting the legal costs in this matter, which are \$10,315. This affidavit is modeled on previous affidavits utilized by undersigned counsel under similar circumstances and which have been found sufficient by the Board. <u>E.g., Prime Location Properties v. IEPA</u>,, PCB No. 9-67, at p. 5 (Nov. 5, 2009), aff<sup>o</sup>d IEPA v. PCB, 2012 IL App (5th) 100072-U.

8. The Board has previously recognized undersigned counsel's experience in underground storage tank appeals, and substantially approved the legal defense costs requested in previous decisions. <u>PAK-AGS v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 15-14 (March 5, 2015) (awarding \$10,355); <u>Evergreen FS v. IEPA</u>, PCB No. 11-51, at p. 5 (Sept. 6, 2012) (awarding \$13,473.80); <u>Prime</u>

Location Properties v. IEPA, PCB No. 9-67 (Nov. 5, 2009) (awarding \$10,088.18). Shaw's hourly rate is \$200 per hour and is believed to be a reasonable rate for environmental attorneys.

9. The Board has previously recognized that the adjudication of contested cases is an essential element in the formation of the policies that govern the UST reimbursement program. <u>Platolene 500 v. IEPA</u>, PCB 92-9, at 12-14 (May 7, 1992). In challenging the Agency's decision, Petitioner has contributed to the body of law in which UST reimbursement decisions are based. There are a pending appeals or requests for 90-day extensions filed with the Board concerning PLAs imposed on site investigation plans. <u>E.g., Rumaneh & Oweisi v. IEPA</u>, PCB 2015-095; <u>GBL Properties (6020 State) v. IEPA</u>, PCB 2015-163; <u>Darji Enterprise v. IEPA</u>, PCB 2015-161; Spicuzza v. IEPA, PCB 2015-162.

10. Moreover, the benefits of this contribution are mostly to be appreciated by future Fund claimants that can look to Board precedent in shaping future decisions. The most immediate and direct purpose of statutory fee awards is to encourage such claims for reimbursement, particularly where not insisting on proper reimbursement may be less costly than litigation. <u>See Chicago v. Illinois Commerce Com.</u>, 187 Ill. App. 3d 468, 470 (1st Dist. 1989).

11. With respect to the specifics of this appeal, the Board interpreted new statutory language, which poses novel issues pertaining to labor laws for the first time. In the process, the Board determined an important jurisdictional issue pertaining to its authority to review PLA requirements in an Agency determination letter. This case may serve as guidance in future PLA disputes.

4

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, ALLEN McAFEE, requests that this Board authorize the

payment from the leaking underground storage tank fund the amount of \$10,315 in attorney's

fees and litigation costs pursuant to 415 ILCS 5/57.8(1).

Respectfully submitted,

ALLEN McAFEE, Petitioner,

- BY: LAW OFFICE OF PATRICK D. SHAW Its attorneys
- BY: /s/ Patrick D. Shaw

Patrick D. Shaw LAW OFFICE OF PATRICK D. SHAW 80 Bellerive Road Springfield, IL 62704 217-299-8484

### BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

ALLEN McAFEE,		)
	Petitioner,	)
		)
v.		)
		)
ILLINOIS ENVIRO	NMENTAL	)
PROTECTION AGE	ENCY,	)
	Respondent.	)
STATE OF ILLINO	PIS )	

COUNTY OF SANGAMON )

PCB No. 15-84 (UST Appeal)

AFFIDAVIT OF PATRICK D. SHAW VERIFYING ATTORNEY FEES

Affiant, Patrick D. Shaw, being first duly sworn, states as follows:

) ss.

1. The statements made herein are based upon my personal knowledge, and I am competent to testify hereto.

2. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois; and I am the attorney of record for Petitioner, ALLEN McAFEE, in the case entitled ALLEN McAFEE v. IEPA, PCB 15-84.

3. During the pendency of this appeal, my hourly billing rate was \$200 per hour, and I am generally familiar with the hourly rates of environmental attorneys practicing in Springfield, Illinois, and believe this rate to be comparable, if not less, than other such attorneys.

4. I began working on the appeal in October of 2014, when I received queries about an Agency decision requiring a project labor agreement. I gathered information and filed a petition for review later that month.

5. The Agency filed a motion to dismiss the Petition on jurisdictional grounds,

	EXHIBIT	
tabbies	P	
-		

1

which required significant research pertaining to an important, but unanticipated issue. More time was spent responding to this motion (16.6 hours), than in the initial brief (10.8 hours), though research from the former was relied upon in the latter.

6. Anticipating that the issues of statutory interpretation did not require any additional information, no evidence was submitted at the hearing, and apparently counsel forgot to bill any time for the 5 to 10 minutes used at the hearing to make a briefing schedule.

7. After the initial brief was filed, the law firm of Mohan, Alewelt, Prillaman & Adami had dissolved, and beginning in February I continued to work as a sole practitioner. I retained copies of the electronic records from the law firm's billing program in the event I would need them to prove legal costs. (Exhibit 1)

8. As a sole practitioner, I prepared the reply brief and this attorney fee petition. I utilize similar billing software. (Exhibit 2)

9. Attached hereto as Exhibits 1 and 2 is an accurate summary of the legal work done and the attorney's fees incurred with respect to this matter. This summary has been taken from the respective billings programs of my former law firm and current sole practice, and reflects actual work performed and fees incurred. The summary reveals the date the work was performed, the description of the work performed, the amount of time spent, and the total fees incurred.

10. My attorney fee billable time for this appeal was \$10,240. In addition, legal costs include the \$75.00 filing fee with the Board, bringing the total legal costs to \$10,315.

### FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

2

Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statements set forth in this instrument are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and as to such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he verily believes the same to be true.

Patrick D. Shaw

3/16/2015 10:48 AM

#### Mohan, Alewelt, Prillaman & Adami Slip Listing

Page 1

#### Selection Criteria

Slip.Classification	Open
Refe.Selection	Include: Allen McAfee
Slip.Selection	Exclude: 6309

Rate Info - identifies rate source and level

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description	Attorney Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
6177 TIME 10/3/2014 WIP Receive & review Agency decision requiring PLA, preliminary review and mark calander Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.20 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	40.00
6195 TIME 10/17/2014 WIP Receive & review e-mail w/ legal question regarding PLAs; review statute, e-mail response; receive & review reply Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.40 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	80.00
6200 TIME 10/21/2014 10:00 AM WIP Research PLA issues; draft memo; conf w/ FCP Exported	PDS R Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	1.80 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	360.00
6201 TIME 10/22/2014 9:00 AM WIP Draft petition for review; e-mail to client with question Exported	PDS DR Envt'l Mgt. Inc sAllen McAfee	2.60 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	520.00
6206 TIME 10/27/2014 WIP Revise and file petition for review Exported	PDS RV Envt'I Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.10 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	20.00
6281 TIME 11/10/2014 WIP Receive & review Board order accepting appeal Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.10 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	20.00
6226 TIME 11/10/2014 WIP E-mail to/from Hearing Officer re scheduling hrg/phone conference Exported	PDS Email Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.10 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	20.00

Exported



#### 3/16/2015 10:48 AM

### Mohan, Alewelt, Prillaman & Adami Slip Listing

Page 2

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description	Attorney Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
6232 TIME 11/12/2014 WIP Receive & review e-mails from Jarvis; tel co Jarvis; tel conf. w/ Hrg Officer and Jarvis Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc onf. w/ Allen McAfee	0.60 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	120.00
6277 TIME 11/12/2014 WIP Receive & review Notice of Hearing Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.10 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	20.00
6282 TIME 11/13/2014 WIP Receive & review IEPA Mot. to Dismiss Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.50 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	100.00
6280 TIME 11/17/2014 WIP Receive & review Hearing Officer Order Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.10 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	20.00
6257 TIME 11/21/2014 WIP Review legislative history of statute; make of Exported	PDS REV Envt'l Mgt. Inc outline Allen McAfee	4.70 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	940.00
6258 TIME 11/24/2014 WIP Research and draft response to motion to c assemble legislative transcripts Exported	PDS R Envt'l Mgt. Inc dismiss; Allen McAfee	4.20 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	840.00
6259 TIME 11/25/2014 WIP Research and draft response to motion to c Exported	PDS R Envt'l Mgt. Inc dismiss Allen McAfee	4.20 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	840.00
6260 TIME 11/26/2014 WIP Revise and file motion response to dismiss Exported	PDS RV Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	3.50 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	700.00
6288 TIME 12/1/2014 WIP E-mail to client recent motion filings and op dispute Exported	PDS Email Envt'l Mgt. Inc inions re Allen McAfee	0.40 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	80.00

Exported

3/16/2015	Mohan, Alewelt, Prillaman & Adami	
10:48 AM	Slip Listing	

Page 3

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description	Attorney Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
6278 TIME 12/2/2014 WIP Receive & review Reply to Petitioner's Motion to Dismiss Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.30 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	60.00
6294 TIME 12/4/2014 WIP Receive & review Board order; e-mail to client with thoughts Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.60 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	120.00
6279 TIME 12/5/2014 WIP Receive & review Agency appearance and record; respond to e-mail from client w/ question Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.30 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	60.00
6307 TIME 12/11/2014 WIP Receive & review supplemental record from IEPA; e-mail copy to client Exported	PDS RR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.30 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	60.00
6320 TIME 12/18/2014 WIP File waiver of decision deadline Exported	PDS FIL Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	0.10 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	20.00
6342 TIME 1/6/2015 WIP Draft factual background for brief Exported	PDS DR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	1.00 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	200.00
6367 TIME 1/7/2015 WIP Draft brief Exported	PDS DR Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	2.00 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	400.00
6346 TIME 1/8/2015 WIP Research and draft brief Exported	PDS R Envt'l Mgt. Inc Allen McAfee	5.00 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	1000.00

3/16/2015	Mohan, Alewelt, Prillaman & Adami			
10:48 AM	Slip Listing			Page 4
Slip ID	Attorney	Units	Rate	Slip Value
Dates and Time	Activity	<b>DNB</b> Time	Rate Info	
Posting Status	Client		<b>Bill Status</b>	
Description	Reference	Variance		
6368 TIME	PDS	2.80	200.00	560.00
1/9/2015	RV	0.00	T@1	
WIP	Envt'l Mgt. Inc			
Revise and file brief	Allen McAfee	0.00		
Exported				
6348 TIME	PDS	0.30	200.00	60.00
1/12/2015	Email	0.00	T@1	
WIP	Envt'l Mgt. Inc			
E-mail to client brief w/ comments	Allen McAfee	0.00		
Exported				
6374 TIME	PDS	0.10	200.00	20.00
1/20/2015	REC	0.10	T@1	20.00
WIP	Envt'l Mgt. Inc	0.10	No Charge	
Receive response brief	Allen McAfee	0.00		
Exported				

PDS

REV

6377

Exported

1/26/2015

Grand Total

TIME

WIP	Envt'l Mgt. Inc	0.00	
Review and forward response brief to client w/ comments Exported	Allen McAfee	0.00	
Grand Total			
	Billable	36.70	7340.00
	Unbillable	0.10	20.00
	Total	36.80	7360.00

0.40

0.00

200.00

T@1

80.00

3/16/2015 1:15 PM Law Office of Patrick D. Shaw Slip Listing

Page 1

#### Selection Criteria

Slip.Classification Open Refe.Selection Include: McAfee

Rate Info - identifies rate source and level

Slip ID Dates and Time Posting Status Description			Timekeeper Activity Client Reference	Units DNB Time Est. Time Variance	Rate Rate Info Bill Status	Slip Value
23 2/4/2015 Billed Draft reply brief	TIME G:10005 3/	/2/2015	Patrick D Shaw Draft Environmental Manage McAfee	5.60 0.00 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	1120.00
24 2/5/2015 Billed		/2/2015	Patrick D Shaw Revise Environmental Manage	3.40 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	680.00
Revise and file rep 30 2/9/2015 Billed E-mail filing w/ cor	TIME		McAfee Patrick D Shaw E-mail Environmental Manage McAfee	0.00 0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	40.00
	TIME Board decision and disse h thoughts; receive respo		Patrick D Shaw Receive & Review Environmental Manage McAfee	1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	200.00
67 3/11/2015 WIP Review billing reco cases; start draft a	TIME ords; review recent atty fe atty fee petition	e	Patrick D Shaw Review Environmental Manage McAfee	1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	200.00
68 3/16/2015 WIP Draft petition for at	TIME torney fees		Patrick D Shaw Draft Environmental Manage McAfee	3.30 0.00 0.00 0.00	200.00 T@1	660.00
Grand Total			Billable Unbillable Total	14.50 0.00 14.50	-	2900.00 0.00 2900.00

